Remarks

1. Summary of Office Action

In the Office Action mailed October 23, 2008, the Examiner withdrew the

objections with respect to the drawings and specification. Additionally, the Examiner

rejected claims 1-27 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

2. Amendments to the Claims

Applicants have amended claims independent 1, 4, 10, and 15 to recite the

invention more clearly.

Presently pending in this application are claims 1-5, 7, 8, 10-20, and 27, of which

claims 1, 4, 10, and 15 are independent and the remainder are dependent.

3. Response to §112 Claim Rejections

As noted above, the Examiner rejected claims 1-27 on grounds of indefiniteness.

In the Office Action, the Examiner noted that the terms "at least attenuated downlink data

and pre echo canceller uplink data" and "at least the uplink echo return loss" are unclear.

Applicants have amended the independent claims to delete the phrase "at least" from

those terms per Examiner's suggestion. See Office Action, page 3. Applicants

respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections.

4. Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit that all of the pending claims are in

condition for allowance. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request favorable

reconsideration and allowance of those claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 23, 2009 By: /Joanna Skyles/

Joanna Skyles

Reg. No. 54,454

11